

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Fwd: Application by East Anglia TWO Limited
Date: 17 November 2020 22:28:44

From Wendy Orme Unique Reference EA2-AFP039

My name is Wendy Orme, email address -
[REDACTED]

I am directly affected by the application in that I have a vested interest in its impact on [REDACTED] Wardens Trust as a Trustee and Company Secretary, and as the owner of two tenanted cottages, The Coach House and Stable Cottage at Ness House..

As we are at the starting point of this SPR Application, I have a number of issues I wish to bring to your attention, particularly as the excessive disruption to swaithes of landscape, wild life environment and impact on all those that live in or near its pathway are going to be severely impacted upon for a considerable period of time.

With five applications in line for consideration over a period of ten to twelve years, the accumulative impact on the area as a whole has to be addressed, and should not be treated as a number of "small bite" Applications. With so many applications in the pipeline, there is an urgent need to assess fully whether the fragile East Suffolk coastal belt and its limited infrastructure can withstand physically something that is akin to fracking when deep thrust boring through the soft sandy substructure of the coastal belt, and in so many different access areas. Any subterranean disruption to these fragile coastal cliffs would quickly destabilise and undermine its natural inherent strength and lead to an acceleration of the slow erosion taking place on this important coastal barrier to the sea; so hastening the inevitable loss of land and property well in to our life time.

The question has to be asked; is it politically acceptable to knowingly disrupt and hasten such damage and change to people's lives, their properties and their environment, as it will, without a full examination of the potential impact.

With global warming and the threat of rising sea levels is this a risk worth taking without a full and proper assessment or judicial review to assess that risk has been justified - against the wishes of those who have to live with consequences of such action because of the need to meet Government targets.

If Sizewell C, which will not go on line till 2040+, is added to the

mix, it becomes an even worse scenario of disruption to this fragile East Suffolk Coastal Belt.

It has now become a battle between two very important environmental interests - Protecting the East Suffolk Coastal Belt and an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty from permanent environmental damage with life changing consequences versus Green Energy Targets at any cost.

This is a nonsense situation as it stands at the moment. Once the Government has issued its Energy Strategy to be released at the end of this year the Planning Inspectorate will have to carefully take all this into consideration.

SPR's Planning Application and Impact on Ness House, Wardens Hall, Stable Cottage, Coach House, and Nicky Nicholls and Bev Strowger, Tenants of the grazing.

Tenants - Stable Cottage, The Coach House. Ness House Grazing Tenants

I have been asked to represent the interests of the two tenants - Bev Strowger and Nicky Nicholls, who each have a Grazing Licence. The fields are divided into two independent sections. Each with its own stabling and food store. Both will be adversely affected by this application. We have supplied details of the grazing tenants to SPR but they do not appear in the Book of References. Likewise we have supplied details of the tenants for Stable Cottage and the Coach House to SPR's agents, and these do not appear in the Book of Reference.

SPR - Compulsory Acquisition Order:

SPR is currently seeking a compulsory acquisition order on the land surrounding Wardens Hall, Ness House and the Cottages including the recreation and camping area for Wardens. We have significant concerns about this, particularly in connection with Wardens Hall which is the centre for Wardens Trust a charity set up in 1988 to provide residential and outdoor activities for disabled children, young adults and the elderly. This is a not-for-profit charitable company registered with the Charity Commission and Companies House. As well as providing vital services to the local community and elderly, it is a life line for hundreds of disadvantaged and disabled people, providing outdoor camping and recreational opportunities for children and young adults - whether it be East Anglian Schools, Duke of Edinburgh Awards scheme, groups of Brownies, Scouts etc or London inner city children having a taste of the great outdoors by the seaside that is safe and tranquil. There is no doubt the disruption envisaged and the enclosure of the whole area and its camping will change the whole experience it currently offers and

compromise our work and income. Who wants to send their vulnerable children on a holiday by the sea surrounded by a construction site. Financially, it will destroy the charity and its work. Wardens will cease to be.

Right of Access :

The main historic access to both Cottages and Ness House runs due West across the proposed pipeline route. This will be seriously compromised by this prolonged course of disruptive access as will the whole community that live there, especially if one takes into consideration the three other applications for this section of the coast over the next 12 - 15 years.

Water Supply:

Deep bore drilling by SPR will seriously compromise the fresh water supply to the whole community. The aquifer is 10 mtrs down and runs under the whole Ness House complex and the SPR area surrounding it. This is a licenced water supply tested every six months. As with the Ness House complex bore hole, all the agricultural bore holes on the Sizewell Estate have been described by SPR as unlicensed - this is misleading. All the boreholes are licensed

We have brought this matter to SPR"s attention but have received no communication as to what actions they propose to take to protect this water supply from contamination or damage. I am not aware that this matter has been addressed in their formal application to the Planning Inspectorate - or what steps they will take to protect it.

Planning Protocols and Procedures.

Having had some experience with dealing with complex planning applications involving considerable environmental disruption and destruction, I consider the handling and execution of statutory procedures by SPR's agents for interested and affected parties deplorable and not up to the standard required. As an "affected/interested party" we have received no communication, either by email or post from the Applicant or their representatives, other than a recent Zoom meeting instigated on our behalf by Strutt & Parker, at which SPR advised us they had applied for compulsory acquisition of the whole area around Ness House and denied any knowledge about the presence of an active licensed borehole at Ness House.

Cable Route:

As with all applications that involve substantial impact on the environment, recommendations are that all endeavours must be made to maintain a route that is the least disruptive. The original route was a direct line northwards. This has been changed without consultation and the proposed new route running north towards Sizewell Power Station now involves a significant dogleg shift eastward. This diversion lead directly to Wardens boundary along its playing field camp site, and down the side of the driveway. It

is now part of the SPR application for compulsory acquisition. When challenged SPR suggested it may have been a done to protect any archaeology. This clearly is a nonsense as they have not started the archaeology investigation and it is has never been considered a site of historic interest.

The view is that this is a "trojan horse" to facilitate the connection and entry point B for the Nautilus connection from Belgium (planned to commence in 2024). According to their application the proposed route of Entry Point B runs directly under the Wardens playing field. It has been suggested the company in question has connections with SPR.

With five Applications in the pipeline - put together this is clearly a very large Planning Project. If one is to ensure a fair hearing there must be an investigation into the overall accumulative impact of all these applications together and the combined affect it will have on this fragile East Suffolk Coastal Belt - with an inadequate infrastructure to accommodate all such invasive activity and the need to necessitate an enormous disruptive road building programme to achieve that goal. Without a doubt all this activity will change the face of East Suffolk as we know it for ever..

Currently I can see no legal, or otherwise, justification for placing such a large and complex energy project on such a fragile and vulnerable area of coast. Through out Europe other alternatives are applied and the use of "offshore hubs" with a single point of entry to the Grid, is a successful tried and tested method used throughout out the West Coast of Europe, by many countries well practised at protecting their fragile coastlines from rising seas and tidal surges.

Wendy Orme

Sent from my Xperia XA on O2